

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,098		11/20/2003	Lyons-Leon Albert	41941.8003.US00	1097
34055	7590	10/07/2004		EXAMINER	
PERKINS (-	HUNTER, ALVIN A		
POST OFFICE BOX 1208 SEATTLE, WA 98111-1208			ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
				3711	3711

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/707,098	ALBERT ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alvin A. Hunter	3711				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte efter - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vare to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 August 2004.						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are objected to.						
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
	·						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) 🔲 Inforn	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) \square Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/707,098

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-7, in the reply filed on August 9, 2004 is acknowledged.

Claim Objections

Claims 1 and 3 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In line 4, of claim 1, the word "bakcside" should read -backside--,

In line 9, of claim 1, the word "and" should read -or--, and

In line 3, of claim 3, the word "and" should read -or--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The

Application/Control Number: 10/707,098

Art Unit: 3711

Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 5 recites the broad recitation 2 to 12mm, and also recites 4 to 10mm; claim 6 recites the broad recitation 4 to 22mm, and also recites 10 to 18mm; and claim 7 recites the broad recitation 10 to 25mm, and also recites 15 to 20mm, which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Heene et al. (USPN 6425831).

Regarding claim 1 and 3, Heene et al. discloses a golf club head comprising a recess having a polymer insert wherein the polymer insert is composed of a transparent polymer material with a thickness of 0.125 to 0.500 inches, or 3.175 to 127mm, and having a gloss and lustrous surface and wherein the recess being formed in the striking plate of a putter head and the insert is made of a thermoplastic polyurethane (See

Application/Control Number: 10/707,098

Art Unit: 3711

Column 4, lines 13 through 38). The insert is transparent because polyurethane is inherently transparent.

Regarding claim 2, Heene et al. discloses the polymer insert having a pattern or logo inside the polymer insert (See Figure 10 and Column 6, lines 12 through 30).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heene et al. (USPN 6425831).

Regarding claim 4, Applicant does not disclose why it is critical to use polymethyl methacrylate over any of the previously claimed materials. Furthermore, Heene et al. also disclose that the insert may be made of ionomer which is also inherently transparent. One having ordinary skill in the art would have found the selection of material to be an obvious matter of design choice. Any material, including the materials disclosed by Heene et al., would perform equally as well so long as the material is transparent.

Regarding claim 5-7, Applicant does not disclose why the location of the insert is critical in order to attain the invention. One having ordinary skill in the art would have found such to be an obvious matter of design choice. The location of the insert disclosed by Heene would perform equally as well because is facilitates placement of

Application/Control Number: 10/707,098 Page 5

Art Unit: 3711

logo for viewing. Furthermore, the club head of Heene can be an iron head or a wood (See Column 3, lines 53 through 58) and the insert has a thickness of 0.125 to 0.500 inches, or 3.175 to 127mm.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin A. Hunter whose telephone number is 703-306-5693. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30AM to 4:00PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Vidovich, can be reached on 703-308-1513. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alvin A. Hunter, Jr.

GREGORY VIDOVICH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700